Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com/journal/current-research-in-microbial-sciences

Draft genome sequence of *Agrobacterium pusense* strain CMT1: A promising growth-promoting bacterium isolated from nodules of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) crops for the One Health approach in Paraguay



Antonio Samudio Oggero^a, Magalí Valdez Borda^a, Carmen María Félix Pablos^b, Gladis Leguizamón^c, Fernando Mathías Morínigo^a, Víctor González Caballero^c, Ariel Farías^a, Héctor Nakayama^{a,*}, Sergio de los Santos Villalobos^{b,*}

^a Universidad Nacional de Asunción. Centro Multidisciplinario de Investigaciones Tecnológicas. Campus Universitario, San Lorenzo, Central, Paraguay

^b Instituto Tecnológico de Sonora, 5 de febrero 818 sur, 85000 Ciudad Obregón, Sonora, Mexico

^c Universidad Columbia del Paraguay. Carrera de Ingeniería Agronómica. Asunción, Central, Paraguay

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Beneficial bacterium Bacterial inoculant Biofertilizer Whole-genome sequencing

ABSTRACT

Strain CMT1 was isolated from nodules of non-inoculated Roundup Ready (RR) soybean plants (*Glycine max* L. Merrill), which were collected in fields in Itauguá, Paraguay. The genome of this strain had 338,984,909 bp; 59.2 % G + C content; 377648 bp N50; 5 L50; 55 contigs; 51 RNAs and 5,272 predicted coding DNA sequences (CDS) distributed in 327 subsystems. Based on overall genome-relatedness indices (OGRIs), this strain was taxonomically affiliated with *Agrobacterium pusense*. Based on genome mining, strain CMT1 is a promising plant growth-promoting bacterium that could be validated in agricultural fields for increasing soybean yield and quality, diminishing the economic, environmental, and health costs of non-sustainable food production.

Soybean (*Glycine* max) is an annual herbaceous plant belonging to the Fabaceae family (Lim, 2012). It is a crop in high demand due to its oil and protein content, which caused an expansion of the cultivation area in South America, representing an important pillar of the Paraguayan economy (Morínigo et al., 2018). For example, in the 2021/2022 agricultural season, Paraguay produced 4,380,736 tons and was worldwide ranked as the sixth soybean-producing country and the third exporting country according to the Paraguayan Chamber of Exporters and Marketers of Cereals and Oilseeds (CAPECO, 2023). The importance of the soybean sector lies in the different uses of this oilseed as it is part of oil processing, grain milling and bakery, human food, beverage, and other food processing as well as fodder for cattle feed. Currently, soybean cultivation represents approximately 50 % of the world's total oilseed production (Morínigo et al., 2018).

A relevant characteristic of the soybean plant is the formation of specialized structures that are nitrogen-fixing root nodules that create a suitable microenvironment in symbiosis with soil microorganisms (Graham et al., 2004). Nodules are very important in plants as they have the function of reducing gaseous nitrogen to an ammonium compound that can be exploited by the plant to meet its nutritional requirements

for growth and development (Méndez et al., 2014). This metabolism diminishes the high application of synthetic nitrogen fertilizer and enhances the One-Health approach in soybean production because inorganic fertilizers contribute to soil, water, and air pollution, which damages the environment, animals, vegetation, and human health (Ajmal et al., 2018).

Thus, rhizobial and non-rhizobial bacteria have been found present in the root nodules of leguminous plants, although the ecological functions of the latter are not yet understood (Etesami, 2022). Among the most named genera of non-rhizobial bacteria present in nodules are *Bacillus, Acinetobacter, Enterobacter, Agrobacterium, Mycobacterium, Pseudomonas,* and other enterobacterial species (Deng et al., 2011). In this sense, the bacterial genus *Agrobacterium* constitutes a diverse group of gram-negative bacteria found in soil and associated with plants (Farrand et al., 2003; Matthysse, 2006). The presence of *Agrobacterium* strains in bean and soybean nodules has been reported which could contribute to plant growth (Delamuta et al., 2020). Understanding the genomic bases of bacterial species allows us to know the capabilities they possess (Betancor et al., 2008). In this sense, it is possible to analyze complete genome sequences and mining to identify promising beneficial

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: hnakayama@rec.una.py (H. Nakayama), sergio.delossantos@itson.edu.mx (S. de los Santos Villalobos).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crmicr.2024.100259

Available online 2 July 2024

2666-5174/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



Fig. 1. Phylogenetic relation between Agrobacterium sp. CMT1 and closely related species, based on the 16S rRNA gene.

bacteria to the agricultural sector (Bistue, 2018; Gan and Savka, 2018), which is needed to migrate to sustainable agriculture based on a One-Heatlh approach.

In this context, during the year 2022 in the agricultural season, active nodules from non-inoculated Roundup Ready (RR) soybean plants were collected from soybean plants (Somasegaran et al., 1994 and Tokgöz et al., 2020) in the experimental field of the Faculty of Agricultural Sciences of the Universidad Columbia del Paraguay (UCP), located in Itauguá, Central Department of Paraguay ($25^{\circ}21'40.8$ "S $57^{\circ}22'23.8$ "W). These plants were harvested at the R5 phenological stage and transported refrigerated to the Biotechnology Laboratory of the Multidisciplinary Center for Technological Research (CEMIT). Then, Yeast Mannitol Agar (YMA) culture medium (medium 79) with the following composition: 10 % K₂HPO₄ 1 ml/L, 10 % Mg₂SO₄·7H₂O 2 ml/L, 10 % NaCl 1 ml/L, mannitol 10 g/L, yeast extract 0.4 g/L, agar 15 g/L, distilled water, Congo red dye 5 ml/L, and a pH of 6.8, was used as a nutrient medium for bacterial isolation. For this, the collected nodules

were disinfected with 70 % alcohol for a few minutes, then squeezed into 50 ml Falcon tubes with 20 mL of physiological solution consisting of sterile sodium chloride solution, and serial dilutions were performed until a concentration of 10^{-5} . These dilutions were inoculated on Petri dishes containing YMA, and incubated at 28 °C for 4 to 5 days. Subsequently, successive purifications were performed until axenic cultures were obtained (López et al. 2017). Here, one of the most abundant colonies (CMT1) showed the following morphological traits: bacillary morphology, rod-shaped, aerobic, non-spore formation, and Gram-negative bacterium (Lacroix & Citovsky, 2022).

This strain was cryopreserved at -80 °C by using Nutrient Broth (NB) and 30 % glycerol, in the Colección de Microorganismos Edáficos y Endófitos Nativos (COLMENA, www.itson.edu.mx/COLMENA) (de los Santos-Villalobos et al., 2018; 2021).

Strain CMT1 was studied to explore its genomic background. Thus, high-quality extraction of genomic DNA from strain CMT1 was carried out, grown at 30 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 h in 30 mL of nutrient broth, shaking at 121



Fig. 2. Genome annotation of Agrobacterium sp. CMT1 by Rapid Annotation Using Subsystem Technology (RAST) server version 2.0 (http://rast.nmpdr.org).

Table 1

Number of CDS associated with different subsystems of Agrobacterium sp. CMT1 compared to other species.

Anino Acids and Derivatives9896907907Carboydrates243235256256Cell Wall and Capsule12287730Ofactors, Vitanins, Prosthetic Groups,161152172166Pigments17266DNA Metabolism971058366Dormancy and Sporulation1111Fatty Acids, Lipids, and Isoprenoids52525466Membrane Transport808490103Metabolism of Aromatic Compounds24292627Miscellaneous64625658Nitrogen Metabolism64625658Nitrogen Metabolism51202121Phospen Stratusoft91212221Nucleosides and Nucleotides92262721Phospen Stratusoft6462565851Nucleosides and Nucleotides92262721Phospen Stratusoft1224212121Phospen Stratusoft1221212121Phospen Stratusoft1221212121Phospen Stratusoft1221212121Phospen Stratusoft1221212121Phospen Stratusoft1221212121Phospen Stratusoft1221 <th>Subsystem feature counts</th> <th><i>Agrobacterium</i> sp. CMT1</th> <th>Agrobacterium pusense LMG 25623</th> <th>Agrobacterium salinitolerans YIC 5082</th> <th>Agrobacterium radiobacter CCUG 3354</th>	Subsystem feature counts	<i>Agrobacterium</i> sp. CMT1	Agrobacterium pusense LMG 25623	Agrobacterium salinitolerans YIC 5082	Agrobacterium radiobacter CCUG 3354
Cabolydrates243235256256Cell wall and Capsule12287730Cofactors, Vitamins, Prosthetic Groups, Pigments161172166DNA Metabolism971058396Dormancy and Sporulation101111Fatty Acids, Lipids, and Isoprenoids52525466Iron acquisition and metabolism1600103Membraen Transport808490103Metabolism of Aromatic Compounds24292627Miscellaneous34353532Motility and Chemotaxis64626232Nutceosides and Nucleotides97949198Phages, Prophages, Transposable252627Jeasnids1605456101Protein Metabolism552627Potassium metabolism25262627Potassium metabolism25262627Potassium metabolism25262627Potassium metabolism16054160191Regulation and Cell signaling29332429Respiration114124105112RNA Metabolism39413743RNA Metabolism36413743Stecondary Metabolism66333Stefnit Metabolism36	Amino Acids and Derivatives	298	296	307	307
Cell will and Capsule12282730Cofactors, Vitamins, Prosthetic Groups, Pigments161162172166DNA Metabolism971058396Dormancy and Sporulation1111Attry Acids, Lipids, and Isoprenoids52525466Iron acquisition and metabolism162017Membrane Transport808490103Metabolism of Aromatic Compounds24292627Miscellaneous34353532Motility and Chemotaxis64625658Nitrogen Metabolism31311232Nucleosides and Nucleotides97949198Phages, Prophages, Transposable25262627Potosin Metabolism79799Protein Metabolism2533242920Regulation and Cell signaling2933242920Regulation and Cell signaling293324293332Respiration1141241051123232Respiration164443434343434Respiration1644434	Carbohydrates	243	235	256	256
Cofactors, Vitamins, Prosthetic Groups, Pigents161165172166DNA Metabolism91058396Dormancy and Sporulation1111Fatty Acids, Lipids, and Isoprenoids52525466Iron acquisition and metabolism1600103Membrane Transport808490013Metabolism of Aromatic Compounds24292627Miscellaneous34353532Motility and Chemotaxis64625688Nutrogen Metabolism311232Nucleosides and Nucleotides97949198Phages, Prophages, Transposhe252627Posphorus Metabolism25262021Protein Metabolism25262721Protein Metabolism25262021Protein Metabolism25262021Respiration and Cell signaling29332429Respiration and Cell signaling39413743Sterss Response76747079Stuffur Metabolism5061315Stuffur Metabolism506355Sterss Response76747079Stuffur Metabolism506355Stuffur Metabolism506466Stuffur Metabolism	Cell Wall and Capsule	12	28	27	30
Pigments DNA Metabolism 97 DNA Metabolism 1 Dormancy and Sporulation 1 Fatty Acids, Lipids, and Isoprenoids 52 S2 54 Membrane Transport 80 Membrane Transport 80 Metabolism of Aromatic Compounds 24 92 26 Miscellaneous 34 Motility and Chemotaxis 64 Motility and Chemotaxis 64 Motility and Chemotaxis 64 Phages, Prophages, Transposable 91 Phages, Prophages, Transposable 21 Phages, Prophages, Transposable 21 Phages, Prophages, Transposable 21 Phages, Prophages, Transposable 25 Phages, Prophages, Transposable 26 Phages, Prophages, Transposable 27 Potassium metabolism 25 Protein Metabolism 25 Respiration 160 Stress Response 34 Stress Response 76 Stuffur Metaboli	Cofactors, Vitamins, Prosthetic Groups,	161	165	172	166
DNA Metabolism971058396Dormacy and Sporulation111Dormacy and Sporulation525466Iron acquisition and metabolism662017Membrane Transport80849003Metabolism of Aromatic Compounds24292627Miscellaneous34353532Motility and Chemotaxis64625658Nurclosides and Nucleotides97949198Phages, Prophages, Transposable21222521elements, Plasmids7979Protein Metabolism1605415691Regulation and Cell signaling99332429Protein Metabolism16054156112Respiration114124105112Respiration144444Stress Response76779Stuffur Metabolism5633Stress Response767793Stuffur Metabolism5633Stuffur Metabolism6353Stuffur Metabolism5634Stuffur Metabolism5634Stuffur Metabolism5635Stuffur Metabolism5635Motilishi5635	Pigments				
Dormarcy and Sporulation 1 1 1 Fatty Acids, Lipids, and Isoprenoids 52 54 66 Iron acquisition and metabolism 16 20 17 Membrane Transport 80 84 90 103 Metabolism of Aromatic Compounds 24 29 26 27 Miscellaneous 34 35 32 32 Motility and Chemotaxis 64 62 56 58 Nitrogen Metabolism 31 12 32 32 Nucleosides and Nucleotides 97 94 91 98 Phages, Prophages, Transposable 21 26 27 32 Potassium metabolism 7 9 9 31 31 12 32 Potassium metabolism 25 26 26 27 32 32 Potassium metabolism 160 54 156 191 32 32 Respiration 114 124 105 112 <	DNA Metabolism	97	105	83	96
Fatty Acids, Lipids, and Isoprenoids 52 52 54 66 Iron acquisition and metabolism 16 16 20 17 Membrane Transport 80 84 90 103 Metabolism of Aromatic Compounds 24 29 26 27 Miccellaneous 34 35 32 32 Motility and Chemotaxis 64 62 56 58 Nitrogen Metabolism 31 12 32 Nucleosides and Nucleotides 97 94 91 98 Phages, Prophages, Transposable 21 22 25 21 elements, Plasmids 7 9 7 9 Protesium metabolism 5 6 191 105 112 Regulation and Cell signaling 99 33 24 29 26 Respiration 14 124 105 112 112 RNA Metabolism 39 41 37 43 36 36	Dormancy and Sporulation	1	1	1	1
Iron acquisition and metabolism 16 20 17 Membrane Transport 80 84 90 103 Metabolism of Aromatic Compounds 24 29 26 27 Miscellaneous 34 35 32 32 Motility and Chemotaxis 64 62 56 58 Nitrogen Metabolism 31 12 32 Nucleosides and Nucleotides 97 94 91 98 Phages, Prophages, Transposable 21 22 25 21 elements, Plasmids 7 9 7 9 Protein Metabolism 76 24 29 21 Potassium metabolism 7 9 7 9 Protein Metabolism 76 33 24 29 Respiration 114 124 105 112 RNA Metabolism 39 41 37 33 Secondary Metabolism 4 4 4 Stress Response	Fatty Acids, Lipids, and Isoprenoids	52	52	54	66
Membrane Transport808490103Metabolism of Aromatic Compounds24292627Miscellaneous34353532Motility and Chemotaxis64625632Nitrogen Metabolism311232Nucleosides and Nucleotides97949198Phages, Prophages, Transposable21222521elements, Plasmids792627Phosphorus Metabolism55262727Potastium metabolism5426279Protein Metabolism16054156191Regulation and Cell signaling39332429Respiration114124105112RNA Metabolism39413743Stress Response66355Virulence, Disease, and Defense5142494	Iron acquisition and metabolism	16	16	20	17
Metabolism of Aromatic Compounds 24 29 26 27 Miscellaneous 34 35 35 32 Motility and Chemotaxis 64 62 56 58 Nitrogen Metabolism 31 12 32 Nucleosides and Nucleotides 97 94 91 98 Phages, Prophages, Transposable 21 22 25 21 elements, Plasmids 7 96 27 94 Potassium metabolism 25 26 27 97 Potassium metabolism 60 54 156 91 Regulation and Cell signaling 29 33 24 29 Respiration 114 124 105 112 RNA Metabolism 39 41 37 43 Secondary Metabolism 40 4 4 Stress Response 76 74 7 9 Sulfur Metabolism 5 6 3 5	Membrane Transport	80	84	90	103
Miscellaneous34353532Motility and Chemotaxis64625658Nitrogen Metabolism311232Nucleosides and Nucleotides97949198Phages, Prophages, Transposable21222521elemets, Plasmids7979Protein Metabolism7979Protein Metabolism16054156191Regulation and Cell signaling99332429Respiration114124105112RNA Metabolism39413743Secondary Metabolism4444Stress Response76747779Sulfur Metabolism5635Virulence, Disease, and Defense51424947	Metabolism of Aromatic Compounds	24	29	26	27
Motility and Chemotaxis 64 62 56 58 Nitrogen Metabolism 31 12 32 Nucleosides and Nucleotides 97 94 91 98 Phages, Prophages, Transposable 21 22 25 21 elements, Plasmids 7 26 27 21 Phosphorus Metabolism 25 26 27 21 Potassium metabolism 7 9 21	Miscellaneous	34	35	35	32
Nitrogen Metabolism31311232Nucleosides and Nucleotides97949198Phages, Prophages, Transposable21222521elements, Plasmids7262627Phosphorus Metabolism2526279Potassium metabolism7979Protein Metabolism16054156191Regulation and Cell signaling29332429Respiration114124105112RNA Metabolism39413743Stress Response7674779Sulfur Metabolism5635Virulence, Disease, and Defense51424947	Motility and Chemotaxis	64	62	56	58
Nucleosides and Nucleotides97949198Phages, Prophages, Transposable21222521elements, Plasmids77Phosphorus Metabolism252627Potassium metabolism7979Protein Metabolism16054156191Regulation and Cell signaling29332429Respiration114124105112RNA Metabolism39413743Secondary Metabolism4644Stress Response76747799Sulfur Metabolism5635Virulence, Disease, and Defense51424947	Nitrogen Metabolism	31	31	12	32
Phages, Prophages, Transposable 21 22 25 21 Phosphorus Metabolism 25 26 26 27 Phosphorus Metabolism 25 26 27 9 Potassium metabolism 7 9 7 9 Protein Metabolism 160 54 156 191 Regulation and Cell signaling 29 33 24 29 Respiration 114 124 105 112 RNA Metabolism 39 41 37 43 Secondary Metabolism 4 4 4 4 Stress Response 76 74 77 79 Sulfur Metabolism 5 6 3 5 Virulence, Disease, and Defense 51 42 49 47	Nucleosides and Nucleotides	97	94	91	98
elements, Plasmids Phosphorus Metabolism 25 26 26 27 Potassium metabolism 7 9 9 9 Protein Metabolism 160 54 156 191 Regulation and Cell signaling 29 33 24 29 Respiration 114 124 105 112 Secondary Metabolism 39 41 37 43 Stress Response 76 74 9 41 Stress Response 76 74 9 9 Sulfur Metabolism 5 6 3 5 9 Sulfur Metabolism 51 42 49 4	Phages, Prophages, Transposable	21	22	25	21
Phosphorus Metabolism 25 26 26 27 Potassium metabolism 7 9 9 Protein Metabolism 160 54 156 191 Regulation and Cell signaling 29 33 24 29 Respiration 114 124 105 112 RNA Metabolism 39 41 37 43 Secondary Metabolism 4 4 4 4 Stress Response 76 74 77 79 Sulfur Metabolism 5 6 3 5 5 Virulence, Disease, and Defense 51 42 49 47	elements, Plasmids				
Potassium metabolism 7 9 7 9 Protein Metabolism 160 54 156 191 Regulation and Cell signaling 29 33 24 29 Respiration 114 124 105 112 RNA Metabolism 39 41 37 43 Secondary Metabolism 4 4 4 Stress Response 76 74 77 79 Sulfur Metabolism 5 6 3 5 5 Virulence, Disease, and Defense 51 42 49 47	Phosphorus Metabolism	25	26	26	27
Protein Metabolism 160 54 156 191 Regulation and Cell signaling 29 33 24 29 Respiration 114 124 105 112 RNA Metabolism 39 41 37 43 Secondary Metabolism 4 4 4 4 Stress Response 76 74 77 79 Sulfur Metabolism 5 6 3 5 5 Virulence, Disease, and Defense 51 42 49 47	Potassium metabolism	7	9	7	9
Regulation and Cell signaling 29 33 24 29 Respiration 114 124 105 112 RNA Metabolism 39 41 37 43 Secondary Metabolism 4 4 4 Stress Response 76 74 77 79 Sulfur Metabolism 5 6 3 5 Virulence, Disease, and Defense 51 42 49 47	Protein Metabolism	160	54	156	191
Respiration 114 124 105 112 RNA Metabolism 39 41 37 43 Secondary Metabolism 4 4 4 Stress Response 76 74 77 79 Sulfur Metabolism 5 6 3 5 Virulence, Disease, and Defense 51 42 49 47	Regulation and Cell signaling	29	33	24	29
RNA Metabolism 39 41 37 43 Secondary Metabolism 4 4 4 Stress Response 76 74 77 79 Sulfur Metabolism 5 6 3 5 Virulence, Disease, and Defense 51 42 49 47	Respiration	114	124	105	112
Secondary Metabolism 4 4 4 4 Stress Response 76 74 77 79 Sulfur Metabolism 5 6 3 5 Virulence, Disease, and Defense 51 42 49 47	RNA Metabolism	39	41	37	43
Stress Response 76 74 77 79 Sulfur Metabolism 5 6 3 5 Virulence, Disease, and Defense 51 42 49 47	Secondary Metabolism	4	4	4	4
Sulfur Metabolism5635Virulence, Disease, and Defense51424947	Stress Response	76	74	77	79
Virulence, Disease, and Defense 51 42 49 47	Sulfur Metabolism	5	6	3	5
	Virulence, Disease, and Defense	51	42	49	47

rpm, obtaining 1 × 10⁶ Colony Forming Units (CFU)/mL (Valenzuela-Aragón et al., 2018). NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and agarose electrophoresis (2%) were used to determine the quantity and quality of the extracted bacterial DNA (OD 260/280 = 1.8–2.0, total amount of DNA \geq 1 µg, concentration \geq 20 ng/µL). The extracted DNA was subsequently sequenced by using the Illumina Miseq platform (2 × 300 bp), and the Next Generation Sequencing library preparation was carried out by using the TruSeq DNA Nano Kit for Illumina® Platforms, following the manufacturer's specified protocols. A total of 338,984,909 total reads were obtained [2 × 300 base pairs (bp)], and coverage of 50x.

The quality of the raw reads obtained in sequencing was analyzed using FastQC v 0.11.5 (Andrés, 2010). Low-quality adapters and bases were removed using Trimmomatic v 0.32 (Bolger et al., 2014), where 5.93 % was dropped. SPAdes v 3.15.4 was subsequently used to perform a de novo assembly (Bankevich et al., 2012), using the "-careful" parameter to correct errors in reads. The assembled sequenced reads (contigs) were ordered with the Mauve contig Mover tool v 2.4.0 (Darling et al., 2004; Rissman et al., 2009) using Agrobacterium pusense LMG 25,623 (accession number jgi.1102370) based on the highest similarity (100 %) of the 16S rRNA gene sequence. Based on the 16S rRNA gene (Fig. 1), using the EzBiocloud database (https://www.ezbioc loud.net/) (Yoon et al., 2017; Chun et al., 2018), strain CMT1 was taxonomically affiliated with the genus Agrobacterium, which also corresponds to its macro and microscopic morphology as mentioned before. Agrobacterium is one of the most important genera for biotechnology due to its capacity to be used as a vector to improve crops by transferring DNA into plant cells (De Saeger et al., 2021).

The genome annotation of *Agrobacterium* sp. CMT1 was carried out using the Rapid Annotation Using Subsystem Technology (RAST) server version 2.0 (http://rast.nmpdr.org) (Aziz et al., 2008; Overbeek et al., 2014), by the RASTtk pipeline. This strain consists of a total of 51 RNAs, and 5272 predicted Coding DNA Sequences (CDS) distributed into 327 subsystems. The most abundant subsystem was Amino Acids and Derivatives (300 CDS), followed by Carbohydrates (245 CDS), Cofactors, Vitamins, Prosthetic Groups, Pigments (164 CDS), Protein Metabolism (160 CDS), Respiration (115 CDS), and Nucleosides and Nucleotides (97

CDS) (Fig. 2).

Based on the detected subsystems, strain CMT1 could benefit our efforts to achieve the One-Health approach in Paraguay, for example, CDS associated with nitrogen metabolism (Table 1). Strain CMT1 has 31 CDS related to nitrogen metabolism, which are beneficial because biological nitrogen fixation is crucial for agricultural sustainability as it provides an ecological and economical option (Castro et al., 2016). Nitrogen-fixing bacteria-based biofertilizers are a safe and harmless alternative for food production and simultaneously reduce the increased use of chemical fertilizers (Mendoza et al., 2020). Additionally, there are 17 CDS related to iron acquisition and metabolism, with 7 CDS associated with siderophores. These molecules help promote plant growth by supplying iron to the plants (Kramer et al., 2020). Siderophores have a high affinity for the Fe+ ion, thus preventing its availability to other microorganisms like Fusarium, Pythium, Rhizoctonia, and Phytophthora, making them effective biocontrol agents against significant diseases (Blanco and Castro, 2021). In addition, 51 CDS related to virulence and disease include 2 CDS associated with bacteriocins, which are antibacterial peptides, that are used as natural bio-preservatives in the food industry, while other types of bacteriocins help treat infectious diseases, making them relevant compounds in the world facing antimicrobial resistance issues (Londoño et al., 2015).

Strain CMT1 also has **virulence**, **disease**, and defense (51 CDS), i.e., resistance to antibiotics and toxic compounds (29 CDS), invasion and intracellular resistance (20 CDS), and bacteriocins and ribosomally synthesized antibacterial peptides (2 CDS), which exert their antibacterial effects and inhibit the growth of closely or non-closely related bacterial strains; **iron acquisition and metabolism** (17 CDS), i.e., siderophores (7 CDS). Siderophores are small molecules used by pro-karyotic microorganisms to sequester extracellular iron (Rondon et al., 2004).; and **secondary metabolism** (4 CDS), i.e., auxin biosynthesis, which plays an important role in shaping plant organogenesis, tropic responses, and plant morphogenesis in general (Kaur et al., 2022). Furthermore, subsystems related to bacterial resilience, such as the **stress response** (75 CDS), i.e., osmotic stress (21 CDS) and oxidative stress (42 CDS), and **Nitrogen Metabolism** (31 CDS), i.e., Denitrification (20 CDS) and Nitrogen Metabolism - no subcategory (11 CDS)

A. Samudio Oggero et al.

Table 2

OGRIs values of Agrobacterium sp. CMT1 and closely related Agrobacterium species obtained different algorithms.



Fig. 3. Phylogenomic relationship of strain CMT1 and closely related Agrobacterium species by using Type (Strain) Genome Server (TYGS).

(Fig. 2).

On the other hand, overall genome-relatedness indices (OGRIs) were used for a correct taxonomic affiliation of the studied strain. The average nucleotide identity (ANI) was calculated by the OrthoANI (Yoon et al., 2017) algorithm, while the pair-based algorithms on BLAST (ANIb) and MUMmber (ANIm) were obtained by the JSpeciesWS (Richter et al., 2016) server to determine the average percentage of an orthologous gene nucleotide sequence shared by two genomes using the cut-off value between 95 and 96 % (Goris et al., 2007; Meier- Kolthoff et al., 2013). Strain CMT1 showed the highest ANI values (average of orthoANI, ANIb, and ANIm) of 98.27 % with *Agrobacterium pusense* LMG 25623^T, 87.83 % with *Agrobacterium radiobacter* CCUG 3354^T and 87.47 % with *Agrobacterium salinitolerans* YIC 5082^T (Table 2).

Furthermore, the calculation of the distance between the studied strains was obtained using the genome-to-genome distance calculator (GGDC) based on BLAST version 2.1, where formula 2 is considered for incompletely sequenced genomes (Meier-Kolthoff et al., 2013). To delimit a prokaryotic taxonomic affiliation at the species level, the GGDC value \geq 70 % is used, which was obtained with 85.80 % with *Agrobacterium pusense* LMG 25623^T, 34.30 % with *Agrobacterium radiobacter* CCUG 3354^T and 33.60 % with *Agrobacterium salinitolerans* YIC 5082^T (Table 2).

On the other hand, a phylogenomic tree was built with the genomic relationships established between the strain under study and the genome sequences of the three closely related *Agrobacterium* species

(Table 2) by using the Type (Strain) Genome Server (TYGS) tool (Meier-Kolthoff and Göker, 2019), a high-performance web server for genome-based taxonomy of prokaryotes. *Agrobacterium* sp. CMT1 clustered with the *Agrobacterium pusense* LMG 25623^T strain into a different species group compared to the other closely related species (Fig. 3 and Table 2), indicating that strain CMT1 belongs to *Agrobacterium pusense*.

Finally, the values obtained by ANI, GGDC (Table 2), and phylogenomic relationship (Fig. 3) of the studied strain compared with the genomes of the strains closely strongly confirm that strain CMT1 belongs to *Agrobacterium pusense*.

In conclusion, strain CMT1 belongs to the *Agrobacterium pusense*, and based on genome mining, it has the potential for its use in agriculture to promote the growth of crops, due to several action modes. Thus, this strain should be studied in depth to validate its genomic background, and thus, promote its use in soybean production sustainability to reach the One-Health approach in Paraguay.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

This Whole Genome Shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/ ENA/GenBank under the accession number JBBCAR0000000000. The version described in this paper is JBBCAR010000000 under BioSample accession SAMN40283865 and BioProject number PRJNA1084830. Raw data have been deposited in NCBI SRA under accession number SRR28290933.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the program PROINNOVA of the National Council of Science and Technology (CONACYT) of Paraguay and the results obtained by the project SERT 23-15. We acknowledge the Laboratory of Biotechnology of the Microbial Resource located at the Technological Institute of Sonora.

References

- Ajmal, M., Ali, H.I., Saeed, R., Akhtar, A., Tahir, M., Mehboob, M.Z., Ayub, A., 2018. Biofertilizer as an alternative to chemical fertilizers. J. Aeric. Allied Sci. 7 (1), 1–7.
- Andrews, S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc. (Consulted November 2023).
- Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A., Dvorkin, M., et al., 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J. Comput. Biol. 19, 455–477.
- Betancor, L., Gadea, M., Flores, K., 2008. Genética bacteriana. Instituto De Higiene, Facultad de Medicina (UDELAR). Temas de Bacteriología y Virología Médica, 3ra Ed. Oficina del Libro FEFMUR, Montevideo, pp. 65–90.
- Bistue, A.S., 2018. Hacia la reprogramación de crecimiento bacteriano mediante edición genómica: la bioinformática puesta a prueba. Química Viva 2, 13–22.
- Blanco, E.L., Castro, Y., 2021. Antagonismo de rizobacterias sobre hongos fitopatógenos, y su actividad microbiana con potencial biofertilizante, bioestimulante y biocontrolador. Revista Colombiana de Biotecnología 23 (1), 6–16. https://doi.org/ 10.15446/rev.colomb.biote.v23n1.84808.
- Bolger, A., Lohse, M., Usadel, B., 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics. 30, 2114–2120.
- Cámara Paraguaya de Exportadores y Comercializadores de Cereales y Oleaginosas (CAPECO), 2023. *Estadísticas Ranking Mundial*. Cámara Paraguaya de Exportadores y Comercializadores de Cereales y Oleaginosas. Asunción. Disponible en. https://cape co.org.py/ranking-mundial-es/.
- Chun, J., Oren, A., Ventosa, A., Christensen, H., Arahal, D.R., et al., 2018. Proposed minimal standards for the use of genome data for the taxonomy of prokaryotes. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 68, 461–466.
- Darling, A., et al., 2004. Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. Genome Res. 14, 1394–1403.
- Deng, Z.S., Zhao, L.F., Kong, Z.Y., Yang, W.Q., Lindström, K., Wang, ET y, Wei, G.H, 2011. Diversidad de bacterias endofíticas dentro de nódulos de *Sphaerophysa salsula* en diferentes regiones de la meseta de Loess en China: bacterias endofíticas *Sphaerophysa salsula*. Ecología de microbiología FEMS 76 (3), 463–475.
- de los Santos-Villalobos, S., Parra-Cota, F.I., Herrera-Sepúlveda, A., Valenzuela-Aragón, B., Estrada-Mora, J.C., 2018. Colmena: colección de microorganismos edáficos y endófitos nativos, para contribuir a la seguridad alimentaria nacional. Rev. Mex. Ciencias Agrícolas 9 (1), 191–202. https://doi.org/10.29312/remexca. v911.858.
- de los Santos-Villalobos, S., Díaz-Rodríguez, A., Ávila-Mascareño, M., Martínez-Vidales, A., Parra-Cota, F., 2021. COLMENA: a culture collection of native microorganisms for harnessing the agro-biotechnological potential in soils and contributing to food security. Diversity. (Basel) 13, 337. https://doi.org/10.3390/ d13080337.
- De Saeger, J., Park, J., Chung, H.S., Hernalsteens, J.P., Van Lijsebettens, M., Inzé, D., Depuydt, S., 2021. Agrobacterium strains and strain improvement: present and outlook. Biotechnol. Adv. 53, 107677.
- Delamuta, J.R.M., Scherer, A.J., Ribeiro, R.A., Hungria, M., 2020. Genetic diversity of *Agrobacterium* species isolated from nodules of common bean and soybean in Brazil,

Mexico, Ecuador, and Mozambique, and description of the new species

- Agrobacterium fabacearum sp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 70 (7), 4233–4244. Castro, I.V., Fareleira, P., Ferreira, E., 2016. Nitrogen fixing symbiosis in a sustainable
- agriculture. Plant Soil Microb. 55–91 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-27455-3 4.
- Etesami, H., 2022. Nódulos radiculares de leguminosas: un nicho ecológico adecuado para aislar bacterias no rizobias con potencial biotecnológico en agricultura. Investigación actual en biotecnología 4, 78–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. crbiot.2022.01.003.
- Farrand, S.K., van Berkum, P.B., Oger, P., 2003. Agrobacterium is a definable genus of the family Rhizobiaceae. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 53 (5), 1681–1687. https://doi. org/10.1099/ijs.0.02445-0.
- Gan, H.M., Savka, M.A., 2018. One more decade of agrobacterium taxonomy. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. https://doi.org/10.1007/82_2018_81.
- Goris, J., Konstantinidis, K.T., Klappenbach, J.A., Coenye, T., Vandamme, P., et al., 2007. DNA-DNA hybridization values and their relationship to whole-genome sequence similarities. Int. J. Syst. EvolMicrobiol. 57 (Pt 1), 81–91.
- Graham, M., Silverstein, K., Cannon, S., Vandenbosch, K., 2004. Computational identification and characterization of novel genes from legumes. Plant Physiol. 135, 1179–1197. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.037531.
- Kaur, J., Mudgal, G., Chand, K., Singh, G.B., Perveen, K., Bukhari, N.A., Singh, G., 2022. An exopolysaccharide-producing novel *Agrobacterium pusense* strain JAS1 isolated from a snake plant enhances plant growth and soil water retention. Sci. Rep. 12 (1), 21330.
- Kramer, J., Ozkaya, O., Kümmerli, R., 2020. Bacterial siderophores in community and host interactions. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 18, 152–163. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41579-019-0284-4.
- Lim, T.K., 2012. Glycine max. Edible Medicinal And Non-Medicinal Plants: Volume. Fruits 2, 634–714.
- Londoño, N.A., Taborda, M.T., López, C.A., Acosta, L.V., 2015. Bacteriocinas producidas por bacterias ácido lácticas y su aplicación en la industria de alimentos. Alimentos hoy 23 (36), 186–205.
- López, J., Lépiz, R., González, D., Rodríguez, R., López, E., Olalde, V., 2017. Caracterización morfológica y bioquímica de cepas de *Rhizobium* colectadas en frijol común silvestre y domesticado. Rev Fitotec Mex 40 (1), 73–81.
- Matthysse, A.G., 2006. The genus Agrobacterium. Prokaryotes 5, 91-114.
- Meier-Kolthoff, J.P., Auch, A.F., Klenk, H.P., Göker, M., 2013. Genomesequence-based species delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions. BMC Bioinformatics 14 (1), 60.
- Meier-Kolthoff, J.P., Göker, M., 2019. TYGS is an automated high-throughput platform for state-of-the-art genome-based taxonomy. Nat. Commun. 10 (1), 2182.
- Méndez, C., Trujillo, L., Ramírez, R., Enriquez, G., Hernández, L., González-Peña, D., et al., 2014. Production of a liquid *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* inoculant with high impact on the mechanized sowing of soybean in Cuba. Biotecnol. Apl. 31 (2), 111–120.
- Mendoza, V.V., García, J.A., Narvaréz, J.V., Cuevas, F.D.M., Viveros, J.A.F., 2020. Genifix: biofertilizante a base de bacterias fijadoras de nitrógeno. Innovación en Biotecnología I 123.
- Morínigo Aguayo, J., Achinelli Báez, M., Barrios Leiva, O., 2018. La soja en el Paraguay. Una aproximación a la cuantificación económica. Periodo 1994-2016*. Población y Desarrollo 24 (46), 24–36. https://doi.org/10.18004/pdfce/2076-054x/2018.024 (46).024-036.
- Richter, M., Rosselló-Móra, R., Oliver Glöckner, F., Peplies, J, 2016. JSpeciesWS: a web server for prokaryotic species circumscription based on pairwise genome comparison. Bioinformatics. 32 (6), 929–931.
- Rissman, A., Mau, B., Biehl, B., Darling, A., Glasner, J., Perna, N., 2009. Reordering contigs of draft genomes using the Mauve Aligner. Bioinformatics. 25 (16), 2071–2073. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp356.
- Rondon, M.R., Ballering, K.S., Thomas, M.G., 2004. Identification and analysis of a siderophore biosynthetic gene cluster from Agrobacterium tumefaciens C58. Microbiology 150 (11), 3857–3866.
- Tokgöz, S., Lakshman, D.K., Ghozlan, M.H., Pinar, H., Roberts, D.P., Mitra, A., 2020. Soybean nodule-associated non-rhizobial bacteria inhibit plant pathogens and induce growth promotion in tomatoes. Plants 9 (11), 1494.
- Valenzuela-Aragon, B., Parra-Cota, F., Santoyo, G., Arellano-Wattenbarger, G., de los Santos-Villalobos, S., 2018. Plant-assisted selection: a promising alternative for in vivo identification of wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. subsp. *Durum*) growth-promoting bacteria. Plant Soil. 435, 367–384. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-03901-1.
- Yoon, S., Ha, S., Lim, J., Kwon, S., Chun, J., 2017. A large-scale evaluation of algorithms to calculate average nucleotide identity. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 110, 1281–1286.